

Construction of $N = 2$ chiral supergravity compatible with the reality condition

Motomu Tsuda and Takeshi Shirafuji

Physics Department, Saitama University

Urawa, Saitama 338, Japan

Abstract

We construct $N = 2$ chiral supergravity (SUGRA) which leads to Ashtekar's canonical formulation. The supersymmetry (SUSY) transformation parameters are not constrained at all and auxiliary fields are not required in contrast with the method of the two-form gravity. We also show that our formulation is compatible with the reality condition, and that its real section is reduced to the usual $N = 2$ SUGRA up to an imaginary boundary term.

1. Introduction

To introduce a self-dual connection into general relativity has the advantage of leading to polynomial constraints in the canonical formulation and therefore of obtaining a nonperturbative quantum gravity [1, 2, 3, 4]. However, the extension of Ashtekar's formulation of general relativity to include matter spinor fields is not trivial, because the Lagrangian using the complex self-dual connection may lead to an imaginary part which gives extra conditions or inconsistencies for field equations. Indeed its imaginary part for the real tetrad has a particular quadratic form of the torsion tensor, i.e., $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T_{\lambda\mu\nu}T^\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$ [5, 6].¹ In the second-order formalism this term vanishes for spin-1/2 fields [5, 6, 8, 9] because the torsion tensor is totally antisymmetric, and it vanishes also for only one spin-3/2 field [6, 10] after a Fierz transformation. For two or more spin-3/2 fields, however, the quadratic term $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T_{\lambda\mu\nu}T^\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$ does not vanish even if Fierz transformations are used. If this imaginary term is not canceled with another appropriate term in the Lagrangian, then it will give additional equations for spin-3/2 fields which cause inconsistency [6]. Therefore the consistent inclusion of $N = 2$ supergravity (SUGRA) into Ashtekar's formulation, i.e., the construction of $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA is not a trivial problem.

One method to construct the chiral SUGRA is based on the two-form gravity [11], in which the “chiral” means that the formulation is given by using only those quantities with unprimed spinor indices in the 2-component spinor notation. The $N = 1$ two-form SUGRA was first formulated by Capovilla et al.[11] in first-order form, and its extension to $N = 2$ SUGRA has also been established [12]. In this formulation, however, supersymmetry (SUSY) transformation parameters are constrained, and auxiliary fields are needed to formulate the chiral theory in the above

¹ We shall follow the notation and convention of Ref.[6, 7].

sense.

On the other hand, we have reformulated [7] Jacobson's construction of $N = 1$ chiral SUGRA [10] into first-order form, closely following the method originally employed in the usual $N = 1$ SUGRA [13, 14].² In this formulation the meaning of the "chiral" is weaker than in the two-form gravity: Namely, both primed and unprimed spinor indices are used in the 2-component spinor notation, although only right-handed (or left-handed) spin-3/2 fields are coupled to the spin connection. The merit of this method lies in that SUSY transformation parameters are not constrained at all, and that auxiliary fields are not required. Therefore it is suitable for discussing its relation to the usual SUGRA and, in particular, for studying the consistency problem which may arise when two or more spin-3/2 fields are included.

In this paper we construct $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA using the SUSY transformation parameters without constraints. We also show that the dangerous term quadratic in torsion, $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T_{\lambda\mu\nu}T^\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$, exactly cancels with another term which is required for the SUSY invariance, and therefore that the consistency problem does not arise even if the tetrad is real. Our construction closely follows the usual $N = 2$ SUGRA [16]: Namely, we try to couple the spin-(2, 3/2) chiral action [7, 10] to the spin-(3/2, 1) supermatter multiplet by means of the Noether method [16, 17, 18, 19].

In order to discuss the consistency problem, i.e., the question of whether a real section can be extracted from the theory or not, we assume at first that the tetrad e_μ^i is complex, and we introduce two independent pairs of (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields, $(\psi_\mu, \tilde{\psi}_\mu)$ and $(\varphi_\mu, \tilde{\varphi}_\mu)$,³ along with a complex spin-1 field A_μ . This means

² The minimal off-shell version of $N = 1$ chiral SUGRA can also be constructed by introducing an antisymmetric tensor field and an axial-vector field as auxiliary fields [15].

³ We assume ψ_μ and $\tilde{\psi}_\mu$ (or φ_μ and $\tilde{\varphi}_\mu$) to be two independent (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields, and define the right-handed spinor fields $\psi_{R\mu} := (1/2)(1 + \gamma_5)\psi_\mu$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{R\mu} := (1/2)(1 + \gamma_5)\tilde{\psi}_\mu$. The $\psi_{R\mu}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{R\mu}$ are related to the left-handed spinor fields $\psi_{L\mu}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}$ respectively, because

that right- and left-handed SUSY transformations introduced in the chiral SUGRA are independent of each other even in the second-order formalism. This fact makes it more transparent to confirm the SUSY invariance, particularly the right-handed one, in the course of constructing the theory. At the final stage we impose the reality condition.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we summarize $N = 1$ chiral SUGRA in first-order form. In section 3 we construct $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA. A manifestly $O(2)$ invariant form of $N = 2$ chiral Lagrangian is explicitly shown in section 4. In section 5 we discuss the consistency problem and the relation to the usual $N = 2$ SUGRA within the second-order formalism. Finally, the conclusion and discussion are given in section 6.

2. Summary of $N = 1$ chiral SUGRA

Let us first summarize the chiral Lagrangian of $N = 1$ theory and its local right- and left-handed SUSY transformation laws in first-order form [7]. The independent variables are the complex tetrad e_μ^i , two independent (Majorana) Rarita-Schwinger fields $(\psi_\mu, \tilde{\psi}_\mu)$ and the self-dual connection $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ which satisfies $(1/2)\epsilon_{ij}^{kl}A_{kl\mu}^{(+)} = iA_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$. The chiral Lagrangian density is given by ⁴

$$\mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(+)} = -\frac{i}{2\kappa^2}e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu^i e_\nu^j R_{ij\rho\sigma}^{(+)} - e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{\psi}_{R\mu} \gamma_\rho D_\sigma^{(+)} \psi_{R\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where e denotes $\det(e_\mu^i)$, and the covariant derivative $D_\mu^{(+)}$ and the curvature $R^{(+)}{}_{ij\mu\nu}$ are

$$D_\mu^{(+)} := \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{2}A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}S^{ij},$$

ψ_μ and $\tilde{\psi}_\mu$ are Majorana spinors. We denote the Dirac conjugate of $\psi_{R\mu}$ by $\bar{\psi}_{L\mu}$.

⁴ The κ^2 is the Einstein constant: $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G/c^4$. We take the units $c = \hbar = 1$.

$$R^{(+ij)}_{\mu\nu} := 2(\partial_{[\mu}A^{(+ij)}_{\nu]} + A^{(+i)}_{k[\mu}A^{(+kj)}_{\nu]}). \quad (2)$$

The spin-(2, 3/2) Lagrangian density of (1) is invariant under the right-handed SUSY transformations generated by a Majorana spinor parameter α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R e^i_\mu &= i\kappa \bar{\alpha}_L \gamma^i \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}, \\ \delta_R \psi_{R\mu} &= \frac{2}{\kappa} D^{(+)}_\mu \alpha_R, \quad \delta_R \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu} = 0, \\ \delta_R A^{(+)}_{ij\mu} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and also under the left-handed SUSY transformations generated by another Majorana spinor parameter $\tilde{\alpha}$,⁵

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_L e^i_\mu &= i\kappa \bar{\alpha}_R \gamma^i \psi_{R\mu}, \\ \delta_L \psi_{R\mu} &= 0, \quad \delta_L \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu} = \frac{2}{\kappa} D^{(-)}_\mu \tilde{\alpha}_L, \\ \delta_L A^{(+)}_{ij\mu} &= \text{self-dual part of } \{-\kappa(B_{\mu ij} - e_{\mu[i} B^m_{|m|j]})\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with

$$B^{\lambda\mu\nu} := \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\alpha}_R \gamma^\lambda D^{(+)}_\rho \psi_{R\sigma}. \quad (5)$$

Here the antiself-dual connection $A^{(-)}_{ij\mu}$, which appears in the left-handed SUSY transformations (4) through the covariant derivative $D^{(-)}_\mu$, is defined to be the solution of the equation $\delta \mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(-)} / \delta A^{(-)} = 0$ for the “unphysical” Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(-)} = \frac{i}{2\kappa^2} e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e^i_\mu e^j_\nu R^{(-)}_{ij\rho\sigma} + e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \gamma_\rho D^{(-)}_\sigma \tilde{\psi}_{L\nu}, \quad (6)$$

which becomes just the complex conjugate of $\mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(+)}$ when the tetrad is real and $\tilde{\psi}_\mu = \psi_\mu$. On the other hand, we take the self-dual connection $A^{(+)}_{ij\mu}$ as one of the independent variables.

⁵ We define the self-dual and antiself-dual part of a tensor F_{ij} as $F^{(\pm)}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{ij} \mp \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}F^{kl})$.

3. Construction of $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA

The construction of $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA starts from the flat, globally supersymmetric spin-(3/2, 1) matter multiplet of the chiral theory: The flat-space chiral Lagrangian is given by

$$L_M^{0(+)} = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\varphi}_{R\mu} \gamma_\rho \partial_\sigma \varphi_{R\nu} - \frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^2, \quad (7)$$

which is invariant under the right-handed SUSY transformations generated by the constant Majorana spinor α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R A_\mu &= \sqrt{2} \bar{\alpha}_L \varphi_{R\mu}, \\ \delta_R \varphi_{R\mu} &= 0, \\ \delta_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu} &= -\sqrt{2} i F_{\mu\nu}^{(-)} \gamma^\nu \alpha_R, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and also under the left-handed SUSY transformations generated by another constant Majorana spinor $\tilde{\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_L A_\mu &= \sqrt{2} \bar{\tilde{\alpha}}_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu}, \\ \delta_L \varphi_{R\mu} &= -\sqrt{2} i F_{\mu\nu}^{(+)} \gamma^\nu \tilde{\alpha}_L, \\ \delta_L \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^{(+)}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}^{(-)}$ represent the self-dual and antiself-dual part of $F_{\mu\nu}$, respectively.

If we make the SUSY transformation parameters α and $\tilde{\alpha}$ in (8) and (9) space-time dependent, then terms proportional to $(\partial_\mu \alpha_R)$ and $(\partial_\mu \tilde{\alpha}_L)$ appear in $\delta_R L_M^{0(+)}$ and $\delta_L L_M^{0(+)}$ respectively. These terms can be eliminated by using the transformations $\delta_R \psi_{R\mu} = (2/\kappa) \partial_\mu \alpha_R$ and $\delta_L \tilde{\psi}_L = (2/\kappa) \partial_\mu \tilde{\alpha}_L$ at lowest order in κ , if we add to

(7) the coupling term of $(\psi_{R\mu}, \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu})$ with the Noether current associated with (7), (8) and (9): Namely, inclusion of the Noether term

$$L_{\text{Noether}} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}(\bar{\psi}_{L\mu} J_R^\mu + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R\mu} \tilde{J}_L^\mu) \quad (10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_R^\mu &= -2\sqrt{2} F^{(-)\mu\nu} \varphi_{R\nu}, \\ \tilde{J}_L^\mu &= -2\sqrt{2} F^{(+)\mu\nu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

recovers the right- and left-handed SUSY invariance at order κ^0 . Note that J_R^μ and $\tilde{J}_L^\mu$ are conserved because of the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{[\mu} \varphi_{R\nu]} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial^\rho \varphi_R^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\alpha S_{\mu\nu} (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \gamma_\beta \partial_\rho \varphi_{R\sigma}), \\ \partial_{[\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial^\rho \tilde{\varphi}_L^\sigma &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_\alpha S_{\mu\nu} (\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \gamma_\beta \partial_\rho \tilde{\varphi}_{L\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Furthermore in order to recover the SUSY invariance at increasing order in κ , we start with the Lagrangian density

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_M^{(+)} &= -e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\tilde{\varphi}}_{R\mu} \gamma_\rho D_\sigma^{(+)} \varphi_{R\nu} - \frac{e}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^2 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2}\kappa e (F^{(-)\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \varphi_{R\nu} + F^{(+)\mu\nu} \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu}) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

obtained by combining the covariantized form of (7) with the Noether term (10), and examine the variation $\delta \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)} = \delta(\mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(+)} + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_M^{(+)})$ by using (3), (4), (8) and (9). At order κ , several terms appear in $\delta \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)}$. The variation of the Maxwell action by using $\delta_{R,L} e$, and the variation of the Noether term by using $\delta_R \tilde{\varphi}_L$ and $\delta_L \varphi_R$ lead to terms of the form, $F^2 \tilde{\psi}_L$ and $F^2 \psi_R$: The explicit calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)}[F^2 \tilde{\psi}_L] &= \frac{\kappa}{4} e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\lambda\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} (\bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R\mu} \gamma^\lambda \alpha_R - \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_R^\lambda \gamma_\mu \alpha_R), \\ \delta_L \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)}[F^2 \psi_R] &= -\frac{\kappa}{4} e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\lambda\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \gamma^\lambda \tilde{\alpha}_L - \bar{\psi}_L^\lambda \gamma_\mu \tilde{\alpha}_L), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

each of which vanishes, however, by means of the identity ⁶

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\lambda\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \epsilon^{\kappa\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\kappa\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}. \quad (15)$$

This cancellation is the same as in the usual SUGRA [16]. Other terms at order κ in $\delta\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)}$ come from the variation of the spin-3/2 action in (13) by using $\delta_{R,L}e$ and from the variation of the Noether terms by using $\delta_{R,L}A$ as well as from the first-order variation of the self-dual connection in (3) and (4): Namely, at first order in κ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)} = & -i\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\alpha}_L \gamma^i \tilde{\psi}_{L\rho}) \bar{\varphi}_{R\mu} \gamma_i \partial_{\sigma} \varphi_{R\nu} \\ & + 2\kappa \left\{ \partial^{[\mu} (\bar{\alpha}_L \varphi_R^{\nu]} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_{\rho} (\bar{\alpha}_L \varphi_{R\sigma}) \right\} \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \varphi_{R\nu} \\ & + 2\kappa \left\{ \partial^{[\mu} (\bar{\alpha}_L \varphi_R^{\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_{\rho} (\bar{\alpha}_L \varphi_{R\sigma}) \right\} \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for the right-handed transformations, and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_L \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(+)} = & -i\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\alpha}_R \gamma^i \psi_{R\rho}) \bar{\varphi}_{R\mu} \gamma_i \partial_{\sigma} \varphi_{R\nu} + i\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\alpha}_R \gamma^i \partial_{\rho} \psi_{R\sigma}) \bar{\varphi}_{R\mu} \gamma_i \varphi_{R\nu} \\ & + 2\kappa \left\{ \partial^{[\mu} (\bar{\alpha}_R \tilde{\varphi}_L^{\nu]} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_{\rho} (\bar{\alpha}_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\sigma}) \right\} \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \varphi_{R\nu} \\ & + 2\kappa \left\{ \partial^{[\mu} (\bar{\alpha}_R \tilde{\varphi}_L^{\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_{\rho} (\bar{\alpha}_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\sigma}) \right\} \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for the left-handed transformations. Note that the second term of (17), which has no counterpart in (16), originates from $\delta\hat{\mathcal{L}}_M^{(+)}$ for $\delta_L A^{(+)}$ and from $\delta\mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(+)}$ for $\delta_L A^{(+)}$ and $\delta_L \tilde{\psi}_L$. Here we have defined $\delta_L \tilde{\psi}_L$ by using the $A_{ij\mu}^{(-)}$ which is the sum of the antiself-dual part of the Ricci rotation coefficients $A_{ij\mu}(e)$ and that of $K_{ij\mu}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij\mu} = & \frac{i}{2} \kappa^2 \{ (\bar{\psi}_{R[i} \gamma_{|\mu|} \psi_{Rj]} + \bar{\psi}_{R[i} \gamma_{|j|} \psi_{R\mu]} - \bar{\psi}_{R[j} \gamma_{|i|} \psi_{R\mu]}) \\ & + (\bar{\varphi}_{R[i} \gamma_{|\mu|} \varphi_{Rj]} + \bar{\varphi}_{R[i} \gamma_{|j|} \varphi_{R\mu]} - \bar{\varphi}_{R[j} \gamma_{|i|} \varphi_{R\mu]}) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

⁶ This identity can be proved by multiplying $F_{\kappa\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}$ with the identity $\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \epsilon^{\kappa\nu\rho\sigma} + \delta_{\lambda}^{\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\kappa\rho\sigma} + \delta_{\lambda}^{\rho} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\sigma} + \delta_{\lambda}^{\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\kappa}$ as stated in [17].

Namely, the $A_{ij\mu}^{(-)}$ in $\delta_L \tilde{\psi}_L$ is now supposed to be obtained from the “unphysical” Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(-)}$ which involves the pair $(\varphi_\mu, \tilde{\varphi}_\mu)$ besides $(\psi_\mu, \tilde{\psi}_\mu)$.

In order to eliminate the terms of (16) and (17) we must modify both $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_M^{(+)}$ and the SUSY transformation laws (8) and (9). As for the terms in (16), those proportional to $(\partial_\mu \bar{\alpha}_L)$ can be eliminated by adding to $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_M^{(+)}$ the four-fermion contact terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(R)4} = & -\frac{\kappa^2}{2} e \left(\bar{\psi}_L^{[\mu} \varphi_R^{\nu]} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{L\rho} \varphi_{R\sigma} \right) \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \varphi_{R\nu} \\ & -\kappa^2 e \left(\bar{\psi}_L^{[\mu} \varphi_R^{\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{L\rho} \varphi_{R\sigma} \right) \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

while those proportional to $(\partial_\mu \varphi_{R\nu})$ can be eliminated by adding to $\delta_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu}$ of (8) the following terms

$$\delta'_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu} = i\kappa \left\{ \left(\bar{\psi}_{L[\mu} \varphi_{R\nu]} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_L^\rho \varphi_R^\sigma \right) + \left(\bar{\psi}_{R[\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu]} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_R^\rho \tilde{\varphi}_L^\sigma \right) \right\} \gamma^\nu \alpha_R. \quad (20)$$

As for the terms in (17), on the other hand, we first note that the sum of the first and second terms in (17) become $-2i\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho (\bar{\alpha}_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\sigma}) \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \varphi_{R\nu}$ after Fierz transformations. Then the terms proportional to $(\partial_\mu \bar{\alpha}_R)$ can be eliminated by adding to $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_M^{(+)}$ the four-fermion contact terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(L)4} = & -\kappa^2 e \left(\bar{\psi}_R^{[\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_L^{\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{R\rho} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\sigma} \right) \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \varphi_{R\nu} \\ & -\frac{\kappa^2}{2} e \left(\bar{\psi}_R^{[\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_L^{\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{R\rho} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\sigma} \right) \bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

while the remaining terms of (17) proportional to $(\partial_\mu \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu})$ can be eliminated by adding to $\delta_L \varphi_{R\mu}$ in (9) the following terms

$$\delta'_L \varphi_{R\mu} = i\kappa \left\{ \left(\bar{\psi}_{L[\mu} \varphi_{R\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_L^\rho \varphi_R^\sigma \right) + \left(\bar{\psi}_{R[\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu]} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_R^\rho \tilde{\varphi}_L^\sigma \right) \right\} \gamma^\nu \tilde{\alpha}_L. \quad (22)$$

Here we also note that all terms of (19) through (22) contain the (anti)self-dual part of $\bar{\psi}_{L[\mu} \varphi_{R\nu]}$ or $\bar{\psi}_{R[\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu]}$.

To summarize, in order to recover the right- and left-handed SUSY invariance at order κ , we have modified the SUSY transformations of $\delta_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu}$ and $\delta_L \varphi_{R\mu}$ in (8) and (9) as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_R \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu} &= -\sqrt{2} \, i \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(-)} \gamma^\nu \alpha_R, \\ \delta_L \varphi_{R\mu} &= -\sqrt{2} \, i \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(+)} \gamma^\nu \tilde{\alpha}_L,\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

where $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$ represent the self-dual and antiself-dual part of $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}$ defined by

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} := F_{\mu\nu} - \sqrt{2} \kappa (\bar{\psi}_{L[\mu} \varphi_{R\nu]} + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R[\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu]}).\tag{24}$$

The $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_M^{(+)}$ of (13) has also been modified as ⁷

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_M^{(+)} &= -e \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\varphi}_{R\mu} \gamma_\rho D_\sigma^{(+)} \varphi_{R\nu} - \frac{e}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} e \, \{ (F^{(-)\mu\nu} + \hat{F}^{(-)\mu\nu}) \bar{\psi}_{L\mu} \varphi_{R\nu} + (F^{(+)\mu\nu} + \hat{F}^{(+)\mu\nu}) \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu} \} \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} \kappa^2 e \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_{L\rho} \varphi_{R\sigma}) \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R\mu} \tilde{\varphi}_{L\nu}.\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

The last term of (25) is needed to cancel those terms in the variation of the Noether term with respect to $\delta_{R,L} A$ at order κ , and it plays an important role in solving the consistency problem as will be explained later.

At order κ^2 , although the transformations $\delta_{R,L} A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ should be corrected to recover the SUSY invariance within the first-order formalism, this task will be complicated [20]. Therefore, we turn to the second-order formalism in order to minimize complication, as was done in constructing the usual $N = 3$ SUGRA [21]: Namely, the equation for $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ derived from $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)} = \mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(+)} + \mathcal{L}_M^{(+)}$ is solved as

$$A_{ij\mu}^{(+)} = A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}(e) + K_{ij\mu}^{(+)},\tag{26}$$

⁷ Note that since the second term of $\mathcal{L}_{(R)4}$ coincides with the first term of $\mathcal{L}_{(L)4}$, we take only one of them.

where $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}(e)$ is the self-dual part of the Ricci rotation coefficients $A_{ij\mu}(e)$, while $K_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ is that of $K_{ij\mu}$ given by (18). If we use the solution (26), then all the terms of order κ^2 , some of which are derived from the terms added in (23) and (25), cancel identically. Finally at order κ^3 , quintic terms of spin-3/2 fields appear but they indeed cancel among themselves.

4. The manifest $O(2)$ invariance

Let us show that the resultant $N = 2$ chiral Lagrangian has a manifest $O(2)$ invariance which rotates the two pairs of spin-3/2 fields into one another as in the usual $N = 2$ SUGRA [16, 19]. In fact, we can rewrite the $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)} = \mathcal{L}_{SG}^{(+)} + \mathcal{L}_M^{(+)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)} = & -\frac{i}{2\kappa^2} e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu^i e_\nu^j R_{ij\rho\sigma}^{(+)} - e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{R\mu}^I \gamma_\rho D_\sigma^{(+)} \psi_{R\nu}^I - \frac{e}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^2 \\ & + \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}} e \{ (F^{(-)\mu\nu} + \hat{F}^{(-)\mu\nu}) \bar{\psi}_{L\mu}^I \psi_{R\nu}^J + (F^{(+)\mu\nu} + \hat{F}^{(+)\mu\nu}) \bar{\psi}_{R\mu}^I \tilde{\psi}_{L\nu}^J \} \epsilon^{IJ} \\ & + \frac{i}{8} \kappa^2 e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_{L\mu}^I \psi_{R\nu}^J) \bar{\psi}_{R\rho}^K \tilde{\psi}_{L\sigma}^L \epsilon^{IJ} \epsilon^{KL}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where we denote the pairs by $(\psi_{R\mu}^I, \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}^I)$ ($I, J, \dots = 1, 2$)⁸ instead of $(\psi_{R\mu}, \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu})$ and $(\varphi_{R\mu}, \tilde{\varphi}_{L\mu})$, and accordingly the definition of $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}$, (24), is expressed by

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} := F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\psi}_{L[\mu}^I \psi_{R\nu]}^J + \bar{\psi}_{R[\mu}^I \tilde{\psi}_{L\nu]}^J) \epsilon^{IJ}. \quad (28)$$

The chiral Lagrangian density of (27) is invariant under the right-handed SUSY transformations generated by α^I

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R e_\mu^i &= i\kappa \bar{\alpha}_L^I \gamma^i \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}^I, & \delta_R A_\mu &= \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{IJ} \bar{\alpha}_L^I \psi_{R\mu}^J, \\ \delta_R \psi_{R\mu}^I &= \frac{2}{\kappa} D_\mu^{(+)} \alpha_R^I, \\ \delta_R \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}^I &= \sqrt{2} i \epsilon^{IJ} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(-)} \gamma^\nu \alpha_R^J, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

⁸ A summation convention for the internal-symmetry indices $I, J, \dots$ is used and $\epsilon^{IJ} = -\epsilon^{JI}$.

and also under the left-handed SUSY transformations generated by $\tilde{\alpha}^I$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_L e_\mu^i &= i\kappa \bar{\tilde{\alpha}}_R^I \gamma^i \psi_{R\mu}^I, & \delta_L A_\mu &= \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{IJ} \bar{\tilde{\alpha}}_R^I \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}^J, \\
\delta_L \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}^I &= \frac{2}{\kappa} D_\mu^{(-)} \tilde{\alpha}_L^I, \\
\delta_L \psi_{R\mu}^I &= \sqrt{2} i \epsilon^{IJ} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(+)} \gamma^\nu \tilde{\alpha}_L^J.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Within the first-order formalism, the $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ appears in the chiral Lagrangian density and it is taken as one of the independent variables. As for the $A_{ij\mu}^{(-)}$, which is used in the left-handed SUSY transformations of (30), however, we define it to be derived from the “unphysical” Lagrangian density (6) with $(\psi_{R\mu}, \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu})$ being replaced by $(\psi_{R\mu}^I, \tilde{\psi}_{L\mu}^I)$: Namely, the $A_{ij\mu}^{(-)}$ is fixed as the sum of the antiself-dual part of the Ricci rotation coefficients $A_{ij\mu}(e)$ and that of $K_{ij\mu}$ of (18), which now reads

$$K_{ij\mu} = \frac{i}{2} \kappa^2 (\bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R[i}^I \gamma_{|\mu|} \psi_{Rj]}^I + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R[i}^I \gamma_{|j|} \psi_{R\mu]}^I - \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{R[j}^I \gamma_{|i|} \psi_{R\mu]}^I). \tag{31}$$

When the reality condition,

$$\bar{e}_\mu^i = e_\mu^i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_\mu^I = \psi_\mu^I, \tag{32}$$

are imposed,⁹ the $A_{ij\mu}^{(-)}$, which is used in (30), becomes just the complex conjugate of the $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ of (26).

5. Compatibility with the reality condition

We now discuss the consistency problem and the relation to the usual $N = 2$ SUGRA within the second-order formalism. If we take the self-dual connection $A_{ij\mu}^{(+)}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}$ as the function of the tetrad and spin-3/2 fields by solving the equation

⁹ The bar of e_μ^i in (32) means the complex conjugate.

$\delta\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}/\delta A^{(+)} = 0$, then the torsion part of $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}$ involves a four-fermion contact term

$$\frac{i}{8}e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} T_{\lambda\mu\nu} T^\lambda{}_{\rho\sigma} = -\frac{i}{16}\kappa^2 e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_{R\mu} \gamma_\lambda \psi_{R\nu}^K) \bar{\psi}_{R\rho}^J \gamma^\lambda \psi_{R\sigma}^L \epsilon^{IJ} \epsilon^{KL}, \quad (33)$$

since the torsion tensor is related to $K_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ by $T_{\lambda\mu\nu} = 2K_{\lambda[\mu\nu]}$. When the reality condition (32) is satisfied, the right-hand side of (33) becomes pure imaginary, indicating that if such a term really survives in $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}$, it will give additional equations for spin-3/2 fields which cause inconsistency [6]. However, since the last term in $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{i}{8}\kappa^2 e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_{L\mu}^I \psi_{R\nu}^J) \bar{\psi}_{R\rho}^K \tilde{\psi}_{L\sigma}^L \epsilon^{IJ} \epsilon^{KL} = \frac{i}{16}\kappa^2 e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\bar{\psi}_{R\mu}^I \gamma_\lambda \psi_{R\nu}^K) \bar{\psi}_{R\rho}^J \gamma^\lambda \psi_{R\sigma}^L \epsilon^{IJ} \epsilon^{KL} \quad (34)$$

by using a Fierz transformation, this exactly cancels with the term of (33), and therefore any inconsistency of field equations does not arise at the classical level even if the reality condition is imposed. In other words, the SUSY invariance of $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}$ solves the consistency problem which would arise without the SUSY invariance. Indeed, the $\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}$ of $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA with the reality condition (32) is reduced to that of the usual one up to an imaginary boundary term: Namely, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{N=2}^{(+)}[A^{(+)}(e, \psi^I)] = \mathcal{L}_{N=2 \text{ usual SUGRA}}[A(e, \psi^I)] - \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu(e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\psi}_\nu^I \gamma_\rho \psi_\sigma^I). \quad (35)$$

This Lagrangian density is invariant under the right- and left-handed SUSY transformations of (29) and (30), which are now complex conjugate of each other.

6. Conclusion and discussion

In this paper we have constructed $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA compatible with the reality condition using the SUSY transformation parameters without constraint. We

have also shown within the second-order formalism that the formulation is reduced to the usual $N = 2$ SUGRA up to an imaginary boundary term when the reality condition is satisfied.

Ashtekar's canonical formulation of $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA derived from (27) does not contain any auxiliary field: This point is in sharp contrast with that of $N = 2$ two-form SUGRA [12]. So detailed comparison of our results with the two-form SUGRA is desirable at the level of canonical formulation.

Construction of $N = 3$ chiral SUGRA will be a straightforward task by using the method of this paper, except for the following aspect: Namely, since the $N = 3$ theory contains both spin-1/2 and -3/2 fields, the torsion tensor for the real tetrad is fixed as

$$T_{\lambda\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2}\kappa^2\overline{\psi}_{[\mu}\gamma_{|\lambda|}\psi_{\nu]}^I - \frac{\kappa^2}{4}\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma}\overline{\chi}\gamma_5\gamma^\sigma\chi \quad (I = 1, 2, 3), \quad (36)$$

and therefore we must consider the consistency problem for the term $(i/8)e\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T_{\lambda\mu\nu}\times T^\lambda_{\rho\sigma}$ with the torsion being given by (36). The problem is whether this term is canceled with another term required by the SUSY invariance or not. The construction of $N = 3$ chiral SUGRA and further extensions are now being investigated.

An attempt at supersymmetric extension of loop quantum gravity [3, 4] has been made for $N = 1$ chiral SUGRA [22]. We expect that its further extension to the theory with $N > 1$ can be made, now that $N = 2$ chiral SUGRA compatible with the reality condition has been constructed.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Y. Tanii and other members of Physics Department at Saitama University for discussions and encouragements.

References

- [1] Ashtekar A 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 2244; 1987 *Phys. Rev. D* **36** 1587
- [2] Jacobson T and Smolin L 1987 *Phys. Lett.* **196B** 39; 1988 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **5** 583
- [3] Rovelli C and Smolin L 1990 *Nucl. Phys. B* **331** 80
- [4] Rovelli C 1997 Loop Quantum Gravity (Review written for the electronic journal LIVING REVIEWS) *Preprint* gr-qc/9710008
- [5] Dolan B P 1989 *Phys. Lett.* **233B** 89
- [6] Tsuda M, Shirafuji T and Xie H 1995 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 3067
- [7] Tsuda M and Shirafuji T 1996 *Phys. Rev. D* **54** 2960
- [8] Jacobson T 1988 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **5** L143
- [9] Ashtekar A, Romano J D and Tate R S 1989 *Phys. Rev. D* **40** 2572
- [10] Jacobson T 1988 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **5** 923
- [11] Capovilla R, Dell J, Jacobson T and Mason L 1991 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8** 41
- [12] Kunitomo H and Sano T 1993 *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **114** 31; 1993 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **1** 559
- [13] Freedman D Z, van Nieuwenhuizen P and Ferrara S 1976 *Phys. Rev. D* **13** 3214; Freedman D Z and van Nieuwenhuizen P 1976 *Phys. Rev. D* **14** 912
- [14] Deser S and Zumino B 1976 *Phys. Lett.* **62B** 335

- [15] Tsuda M and Shirafuji T 1997 Minimal Off-Shell Version of $N = 1$ Chiral Supergravity *Preprint* STUPP-97-152, gr-qc/9711077
- [16] Ferrara S and van Nieuwenhuizen P 1976 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37** 1669
- [17] Ferrara S, Gliozzi F, Scherk J and van Nieuwenhuizen P 1976 *Nucl. Phys. B* **117** 333
- [18] West P 1990 *Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [19] van Nieuwenhuisen P 1981 *Phys. Rep.* **68** 189
- [20] Freedman D Z and Schwarz J H 1977 *Phys. Rev. D* **15** 1007
- [21] Freedman D Z 1977 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** 105
- [22] Armand-Ugon D, Gambini R, Obregón O and Pullin J 1996 *Nucl. Phys. B* **460** 615